

**VANDERBILT UNIVERSITY MEDICAL CENTER
DIVISION OF TRAUMA AND SURGICAL CRITICAL CARE**

Penetrating Abdominal Trauma

Purpose: To propose algorithm for diagnosis and management of penetrating abdominal trauma.

1. Diagnosis and management varies according to anatomic region
 - a. Thoracoabdominal
 - i. Possible thoracic, diaphragm, abdominal injury
 - b. Back/Flanks
 - i. Retroperitoneum
 - ii. Colon
 - c. Anterior abdomen
 - d. Right upper quadrant
 - i. Possible isolated hepatic injury
 - e. Pelvis
 - i. Consider extra-peritoneal rectal injury
2. **Trajectory and mechanism are primary determinants of injury pattern**
 - a. Plain films may be useful for determining ballistic projectile trajectory
 - i. chest, abdomen, and pelvis plain films should be considered standard
 - b. questionable utility in stab wound
 - c. CT scan can be useful in selected cases
 - d. almost impossible to design one universal algorithm
3. Diagnostic modalities: All have pros and cons, must weigh risk vs benefit
 - a. Local wound exploration
 - i. Useful for exclusion of anterior fascial penetration
 - ii. Posterior fascial penetration determination inaccurate/unreliable
 - b. Diagnostic peritoneal lavage
 - i. absolute criteria for positive examination in penetrating trauma is controversial
 - ii. may be useful dependent on resource availability
 - c. Laparoscopy
 - i. Useful for identification of peritoneal invasion
 - ii. Requires general anesthesia
 - iii. Unreliable for visceral exploration in penetrating injury
 - iv. Cost?
 - d. Serial examination
 - i. Requires suitable geography (e.g. observation unit) with serial *examiner*
 - ii. Unreliable in obese, altered mental status, shock, distracting injury
 - e. Plain films
 - i. Useful for trajectory determination
 - ii. less useful in stab wounds than projectile related injuries
 - f. Ultrasonography
 - i. can detect free fluid but nonspecific for site of injury
 - ii. unreliable for retroperitoneal injury
 - iii. usefulness lies in positive predictive value
 - g. CT scan

- i. require significant time commitment
 - ii. unsafe in hemodynamically unstable patient
 - iii. higher velocity = greater utility; lower velocity = lower utility
 - iv. scout exam is useful for rapid trajectory determination
- h. Laparotomy
 - i. because of associated morbidity, goal is to minimize unnecessary/non-therapeutic laparotomy
 - ii. gold standard examination, therapeutic modality
- i. Angiography
 - i. useful only in specific circumstances
 - 1. penetrating solid organ injury such as kidney, spleen, or liver